Toward the Understanding of Selective Si Nano-Oxidation by Atomic Scale Simulations
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CONCEPTUS: The continuous miniaturization of nanodevices, such as transistors, solar cells, and optical fibers, requires the controlled synthesis of (ultra)thin gate oxides (<10 nm), including Si gate-oxide (SiO₂) with high quality at the atomic scale. Traditional thermal growth of SiO₂ on planar Si surfaces, however, does not allow one to obtain such ultrathin oxide due to either the high oxygen diffusivity at high temperature or the very low sticking ability of incident oxygen at low temperature. Two recent techniques, both operative at low (room) temperature, have been put forward to overcome these obstacles: (i) hyperthermal oxidation of planar Si surfaces and (ii) thermal or plasma-assisted oxidation of nonplanar Si surfaces, including Si nanowires (SiNWs). These nano-oxidation processes are, however, often difficult to study experimentally, due to the key intermediate processes taking place on the nanosecond time scale.

In this Account, these Si nano-oxidation techniques are discussed from a computational point of view and compared to both hyperthermal and thermal oxidation experiments, as well as to well-known models of thermal oxidation, including the Deal–Grove, Cabrera–Mott, and Kao models and several alternative mechanisms. In our studies, we use reactive molecular dynamics (MD) and hybrid MD/Monte Carlo simulation techniques, applying the Reax force field. The incident energy of oxygen species is chosen in the range of 1−5 eV in hyperthermal oxidation of planar Si surfaces in order to prevent energy-induced damage. It turns out that hyperthermal growth allows for two growth modes, where the ultrathin oxide thickness depends on either (1) only the kinetic energy of the incident oxygen species at a growth temperature below T_{trans} = 600 K, or (2) both the incident energy and the growth temperature at a growth temperature above T_{trans}. These modes are specific to such ultrathin oxides, and are not observed in traditional thermal oxidation, nor theoretically considered by already existing models. In the case of thermal or plasma-assisted oxidation of small Si nanowires, on the other hand, the thickness of the ultrathin oxide is a function of the growth temperature and the nanowire diameter. Below T_{trans}, which varies with the nanowire diameter, partially oxidized SiNW are formed, whereas complete oxidation to a SiO₂ nanowire occurs only above T_{trans}. In both nano-oxidation processes at lower temperature (T < T_{trans}), final sandwich c-SiSiO₂-la-SiO₂ structures are obtained due to a competition between overcoming the energy barrier to penetrate into Si subsurface layers and the compressive stress (∼2−3 GPa) at the Si crystal/oxide interface. The overall atomic-simulation results strongly indicate that the thickness of the intermediate SiOₓ (x < 2) region is very limited (∼0.5 nm) and constant irrespective of oxidation parameters. Thus, control over the ultrathin SiO₂ thickness with good quality is indeed possible by accurately tuning the oxidant energy, oxidation temperature and surface curvature.

In general, we discuss and put in perspective these two oxidation mechanisms for obtaining controllable ultrathin gate-oxide films, offering a new route toward the fabrication of nanodevices via selective nano-oxidation.

INTRODUCTION

Due to the continuous miniaturization of nanodevices, such as transistors, solar cells, and optical fibers, the controlled synthesis of (ultra)thin gate oxides is in high demand. In particular, understanding how to control the Si gate-oxide (SiO₂) thickness with high quality at the atomic scale is very important. Unfortunately, traditional thermal growth of SiO₂ on a planar Si surface does not allow one to obtain such high quality ultrathin oxide due to either the high oxygen diffusivity at high temperature or the very low sticking ability of incident oxygen at low temperature. Two recent techniques, both operative at low (room) temperature, have been put forward to overcome these obstacles: (i) hyperthermal oxidation of planar Si surfaces, and (ii) thermal or plasma-assisted oxidation of nonplanar Si surfaces. These techniques are being intensively studied in order to understand the oxidation process at the nanoscale. As a complicating factor, many of the key intermediate processes take place on the nanosecond time scale, which is often difficult to study experimentally. In this Account, these two selected Si nano-oxidation and subsequent ultrathin (<2 nm) SiO₂ formation processes are carefully studied by atomic-scale simulations and compared to both hyperthermal and thermal oxidation experiments, as well as to well-known models of thermal oxidation, including the Deal–Grove, Cabrera–Mott, and Kao models and several alternative mechanisms. In our studies, we use reactive molecular dynamics (MD) and hybrid MD/Monte Carlo simulation techniques, applying the Reax force field. The incident energy of oxygen species is chosen in the range of 1−5 eV in hyperthermal oxidation of planar Si surfaces in order to prevent energy-induced damage. It turns out that hyperthermal growth allows for two growth modes, where the ultrathin oxide thickness depends on either (1) only the kinetic energy of the incident oxygen species at a growth temperature below T_{trans} = 600 K, or (2) both the incident energy and the growth temperature at a growth temperature above T_{trans}. These modes are specific to such ultrathin oxides, and are not observed in traditional thermal oxidation, nor theoretically considered by already existing models. In the case of thermal or plasma-assisted oxidation of small Si nanowires, on the other hand, the thickness of the ultrathin oxide is a function of the growth temperature and the nanowire diameter. Below T_{trans}, which varies with the nanowire diameter, partially oxidized SiNW are formed, whereas complete oxidation to a SiO₂ nanowire occurs only above T_{trans}. In both nano-oxidation processes at lower temperature (T < T_{trans}), final sandwich c-SiSiO₂-la-SiO₂ structures are obtained due to a competition between overcoming the energy barrier to penetrate into Si subsurface layers and the compressive stress (∼2−3 GPa) at the Si crystal/oxide interface. The overall atomic-simulation results strongly indicate that the thickness of the intermediate SiOₓ (x < 2) region is very limited (∼0.5 nm) and constant irrespective of oxidation parameters. Thus, control over the ultrathin SiO₂ thickness with good quality is indeed possible by accurately tuning the oxidant energy, oxidation temperature and surface curvature.

In general, we discuss and put in perspective these two oxidation mechanisms for obtaining controllable ultrathin gate-oxide films, offering a new route toward the fabrication of nanodevices via selective nano-oxidation.
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HYPERTHERMAL OXIDATION OF PLANAR Si SURFACES

Hyperthermal translational energy, in the range of about 1–500 eV, can activate a number of fundamental chemical processes in the near-surface region, including hyperthermal oxidation (HTO). Interestingly, tuning the incident energy in the hyperthermal range and selecting the projectile type can provide control over the oxidation process.\(^\text{5,5,10}\) We study this process by applying ReaxFF-based molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.\(^\text{5,5,10}\)

A typical example of such a simulation is shown in Figure 1a, where a hyperthermal species is seen to penetrate deeper into the crystal. This is the direct result of their hyperthermal energy, as they can surmount the corresponding energy barriers, even at low temperatures.\(^\text{10,19}\) In particular, O (or \(\text{O}_2\)) can penetrate in the Si(100)-\(\{2 \times 1\}\) crystal with a size of 2.17 nm x 2.17 nm x 3.79 nm (Figure 1a) to a depth of around 2 nm at an initial translation energy of 100 eV at 333 K\(^\text{19}\). While the interval between consecutive impacts is 3 ps, the projectile typically already reach their maximum depth after 1 ps. Experimentally, room-temperature oxygen diffusion is a very slow process (\(D \sim 10^{-20}\) m\(^2\)/s\(^\text{20}\)) and thus it is not taken into account in the simulations. Note that the maximum penetration depth is always smaller for molecule impacts than for atomic oxygen due to the immediate breakup upon \(\text{O}_2\) collision (Figure 1b). Since the molecules are given the same initial kinetic energy as the atoms, the individual atoms obtained after dissociation have less momentum and hence a lower velocity.

The penetrated oxygen can also induce damage or create a defect in the Si-crystal during the impact. The energy-dependent damage can be quantified by computing the average number of so-called missing atoms (MA) per impact.\(^\text{19}\) Two distinct defect-generation mechanisms are observed for both atomic and molecular cases. In the first mechanism, an O with energy of 10 eV binds itself to two silicon atoms, forming a siloxane Si–O–Si bond. In this process, a Si-atom is pushed toward the surface, and is displaced from its equilibrium lattice location, creating a MA at that site. The second mechanism occurs through a simple knock-on displacement: an impinging O atom with energy of more than 10 eV can displace a Si-atom from its lattice location due to a collision, thereby creating a vacancy-interstitial pair (a Frenkel pair). This is consistent with the experimental threshold energy window of 10–30 eV for the displacement of Si\(^\text{21,22}\) and the Frenkel pair energy threshold for the [100] direction in Si (20 eV).\(^\text{22}\) The maximum energy that can be transferred to a Si-atom by an impinging O atom, is given by \(T_{\text{max}} = E_{\text{d}}(4m_{\text{Si}}m_{\text{O}})/(m_{\text{Si}} + m_{\text{O}})^2\), corresponding to about 92.5% of the initial impact energy. Therefore, secondary knock-on displacements can also occur if the initial impact energy is sufficiently high, due to Si–Si collision cascades in the bulk of the structure (Figure 1a). Due to such energy-induced damage, the oxidant energy is preferentially in the range of 1–5 eV in order to perform damage-free HTO.\(^\text{5,5,10,23}\)

We can distinguish four stages in HTO process, both at low (300 K) and high (1300 K) temperature, as shown in Figure 2. In stage I, the oxygen species with initial translational energy of 1–5 eV can surmount the energy barriers of the first and second Si subsurface layers, which are about 1.0 and 2.4 eV.
Figure 3. Evolution of (a) the growth of oxygenated Si (gray) on Si-crystal (black layers), as shown by the average z-coordinate of the silicon atoms per line (corresponds to 32 Si-atoms), and (b) the inward diffusion depth of penetrated oxygen (z-coordinate of each penetrated 32 O atoms is averaged and lines are represented in different colors in sake of clarity) during HTO by oxygen atoms of 5 eV, at temperatures of 300 and 1300 K, respectively. Here, z = 0 nm corresponds to the topmost layer of the original pristine Si lattice. Reproduced from ref 23. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.

respectively. Indeed, the oxidant can easily be incorporated directly in the Si substrate even at (near-)room temperature. This oxidation onset does not, however, correspond to the incubation period of thermal oxidation (TO). In the initial stage, the nonstoichiometric SiO layers grow simultaneously inward and outward (green arrows), normal to the surface at both temperatures. During the SiO oxide formation, the Si-suboxide Si<sup>i</sup> (i < 4) components sequentially dominate in the consecutive Si<sup>i</sup> → Si<sup>i+1</sup> → Si<sup>i+2</sup> conversion, corresponding to experimental observation, in which the Si consecutively binds to one, two and three nearest-neighbor O atoms.

In stage II, the inward growth rate of the oxidized layer drops significantly due to the activation energy barrier of the Si subsurface layers and the low diffusivity of the penetrated oxygen atoms at low temperature. As a result, fast Si<sup>i+1</sup> → Si<sup>i+2</sup> → Si<sup>i+3</sup> conversion enhances the formation of new silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>) layers in both directions (red arrows) and the thickness of the nonstoichiometric SiO<sub>2</sub> region decreases drastically. Subsequently, the inward growth slows down considerably, namely for the SiO<sub>2</sub> layers in stage III and for the SiO<sub>2</sub> layers in stage IV. The limit depth is nearly constant after the second stage and is found to be about 0.52 nm (0.39 nm) and 0.91 nm (0.78 nm) for atomic (molecular) oxidation with kinetic energies of 1 and 5 eV, respectively.

At high temperature (above 500 K), however, interstitial oxygen species are sufficiently mobile to surmount the activation energy barrier and thus both the inward and outward growth of the SiO<sub>2</sub> and the SiO<sub>2</sub> regions continues in all stages. Consequently, the concentration of the suboxide species drastically increases relative to the Si<sup>i</sup> species with increasing growth temperature, resulting in a broad SiO<sub>2</sub> region, which is also observed in TO experiments. In particular, the number of the Si<sup>i</sup> species dominates in the nonstoichiometric region due to the increasing diffusivity of the oxygen atoms above 500 K. Such temperature-dependent oxidation behavior is also experimentally observed, i.e., interstitial neutral O and O<sub>2</sub> become mobile above 200 °C (∼500 K) and 400 °C (∼700 K), respectively.

Analysis of the outward and inward displacement of silicon and oxygen species in the oxide growth process also allows a deeper understanding of the growth mechanism, by comparing with suggested mechanisms for thermal Si oxidation. In the initial stage, the upper surface and subsurface Si layers remain in place, while some oxygen atoms penetrate up to the limit depth at low temperature (Figure 3a). Afterward, the top layers gradually expand and the distance between the layers eventually equals the thickness of one oxide layer (0.26 nm). According to the “reactive layer” model and the Cabrera–Mott mechanism, silicon atoms diffuse (upward) through the thin reactive layer and react with oxygen at the top of this layer, forming the SiO<sub>2</sub> phase. The atomistic simulations, however, point out that there is no outward displacement of single silicon atoms and therefore these models do not properly describe the growth behavior in HTO.

At low temperature, hyperthermal oxygen atoms cross the SiO<sub>2</sub>|Si interface by virtue of their kinetic energy, react with Si<sup>i</sup> (i < 4) atoms and reach their maximum depth in the nonstoichiometric SiO<sub>2</sub> region. The inward oxygen growth (Figure 3b) is nearly inhibited by the associated energy barrier and thus the oxidation rate of new Si layers can be a slow process, similar to TO, occurring on a time scale of 10<sup>3</sup> to 10<sup>4</sup> s depending on the experimental conditions at 300 K. Thus, the kinetic energy of the incident oxygen atoms is the dominant factor in low temperature oxidation. In contrast, above 400 K, mobile O or O<sub>2</sub> can penetrate/diffuse down to the SiO<sub>2</sub>|Si interface and react with the Si-crystal. We call this temperature the transition temperature T<sub>trans</sub>. Interestingly, the oxidation mechanism resembles thermal wet and dry oxidation, which is described by the Deal–Grove model. In this model, the oxygen reacts with the Si-crystal after diffusing through the oxide layers. However, this model and its
extensions\textsuperscript{16,17} fail to describe the oxidation kinetics of ultrathin (\(<4\ \text{nm}\)) films\textsuperscript{2} in HTO in two situations: (a) there is no pre-existing oxide layer on the Si surface prior to oxidation; and (b) suitable parameters are needed to describe direct oxidation. The latter is also not taken into account in the Cabrera–Mott mechanism, in which a field in the Si oxide is created due to upward electron transport, which is held responsible for the oxygen (ion) inward migration.\textsuperscript{14}

Based on the above, we conclude that hyperthermal growth allows for two growth modes\textsuperscript{23} which are not observed nor expected in TO of planar Si surfaces:

(i) When the temperature is below $T_{\text{trans}}$ (i.e., $T < T_{\text{trans}}$), the oxide thickness depends only on the kinetic energy of the incident oxygen species.

(ii) When $T > T_{\text{trans}}$, the oxide thickness depends on both the incident energy and the growth temperature.

Besides the effect of the growth temperature and the incident kinetic energy, the change of the oxide thickness is also caused by the type of oxygen species\textsuperscript{23} (Figure 4). Due to lower momentum after their dissociation, the sticking probability of molecules is always smaller than the sticking probability of atoms.\textsuperscript{5,26} Consequently, oxygen molecules do not penetrate as deep in the surface as the oxygen atoms, resulting in a thinner thickness at the same conditions.\textsuperscript{4,5,9}

However, a relatively rough interface is obtained in molecular oxidation compared to atomic oxidation above $T_{\text{trans}}$, indicating that the roughness increases with decreasing oxide thickness. At room temperature, the roughness of the SiO$_x$ surface increases from 0.1 nm to constant values of about 0.15 and 0.21 nm for atomic and molecular oxidation by 5 eV particles, respectively.\textsuperscript{27} While the second value is somewhat larger, both values are quite close to experimental interfacial roughness values ranging from 0.15 to 0.2 nm in HTO at room temperature.\textsuperscript{9} Such a change in roughness can be attributed to the competition between energy barriers in Si layers and compressive stresses at the silicon/oxide interface.\textsuperscript{28} Because of such stresses, some Si-epoxide (denoted SiOSi) linkages\textsuperscript{29,30} appear at the interface as well, which can be regarded as an intermediate state of the Si–O–Si $\rightarrow$ SiOSi $\rightarrow$ Si–Si transition.\textsuperscript{27} Indeed, oxygen atoms in the SiO$_2$ region can be pushed toward the SiO$_x$ oxide region at low temperature.\textsuperscript{27} Since the stress decreases with increasing growth temperature,\textsuperscript{7} low-temperature oxidation ($\sim 2$ GPa at 300 K)\textsuperscript{28} reduces the oxygen diffusivity in the interface significantly and thus the ultrathin oxide can be grown and tuned at temperatures below $T_{\text{trans}}$.\textsuperscript{27}

Figure 4. HTO of silicon after 32 ML of O atoms in atomic and molecular impacts with energy of 1–5 eV. Here, the Si, SiO$_x$, SiO$_2$ phases and oxygen atoms are colored light gray, dark gray, yellow and red, respectively. Reproduced from ref 23. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.
In Table 1, the final thickness of the interface and the silica region is given as a function of the kinetic energy and type of oxidant at 300 K. Prior to attaining the final thickness, the SiO₂ thickness increases in the direct oxidation stage for both species, but it decreases again up to about 0.5 nm in the slow oxidation stage, which is in agreement with experimental thickness ranges from 0.15 to 1 nm.

Note that the final value of the silica thickness is 0.63 nm in the case of O₂ with 3 eV, which is very close to the experimental result of 0.6 nm at the same conditions. Also, the obtained total oxide thickness is 2.43 nm in 5 eV atomic oxidation with a fluence of 1.22 × 10⁹ O atoms·cm⁻², which is in fair agreement with the experimental oxide thickness of about 2.8 nm obtained using a fluence of 8 × 10¹⁵ O atoms·cm⁻² of 4.6 eV at 297 K, as determined from experimental measurements. In general, the table shows that the average total thickness of the saturated oxide layer obtained by O is about twice the value obtained for O₂. This clearly indicates the possibility to control the oxide layer thickness by the choice of the impinging species and the impact energy below T_trans.

Given that thickness control is possible, a structural analysis of the obtained oxide is important as well. In Figure 5, the calculated radial distribution function (RDF) shows that the Si–O (α peak), O–O (β peak), and Si–Si (γ peak) bonds are distributed around 0.16, 0.25, and 0.32 nm, respectively, in agreement with both experimental values and other MD calculations, indicating that the obtained silica structure is amorphous (α-SiO₂). However, at low temperature, some unexpected O–O nonbonded neighbors (β₂ peak) are found with a distance of 0.226 nm, close to the β peak, caused by the interfacial stress.

Also, some small peaks indicate the presence of two kinds of peroxyl bonds with distances of around 0.13 and 0.16 nm (β₁ and β₂ in Figure 5a), which can be formed following two mechanisms. In the first mechanism (Figure 5b), the incident molecule reacts with a Si-epoxide structure near the interface and binds weakly with the Si³⁺, thereby creating an oxygen defect. In the second mechanism (Figure 5c), the incident atom reacts with Si⁴⁺ atoms and creates oxygen peroxyl bonds in α-SiO₂. As a result, two SiO₂ molecules connect through the peroxyl bond, creating a Si deficiency in this region. The first defect is found to be stable (Eₐ = −1.093 eV) with respect to the second defect (Eₐ = 1.017 eV). Both disappear above T_trans indicating that the number of peroxyl bonds significantly decreases with increasing growth temperature. The existence of such bridges and 3-fold bond configurations in α-SiO₂ was already reported experimentally⁵,۱۴,۲۵ and theoretically.²⁵

In the oxide structure, the O–Si–O angles are distributed at around 110° in both the atomic and molecular oxidation cases, corresponding to the tetrahedral silicon angle (109.5°). Also, two Si–O–Si angle distributions were found, i.e., at around 150° and 160° for the molecular and atomic oxygen cases, respectively. Both values are fairly close to the experimental value (i.e., 151° ± 11°) of the Si–O–Si angle in vitreous (amorphous) silica.³,²³

The calculated mass density (2250 kg/m³) of the SiO₂ structure is very close to the value for amorphous silica.²³

# THERMAL OR PLASMA-ASSISTED OXIDATION OF SMALL-DIAMETER Si NANOWIRES

From our calculations, we also predict the possible formation of ultrathin α-SiO₂ oxide with a tunable thickness by thermal or plasma-assisted oxidation of Si nanowires (SiNWs).⁸,³⁵ Note that although plasma exposure of solid (metal) surfaces leads to the formation of different nanostructures, we only focus on the layer-type SiO₂ formation. Figure 6 presents simulation results, showing the TO process of small SiNWs with a diameter of 2 nm using O₂ molecules with thermal energies corresponding to either low (300 K) or high (1273 K) temperature. Every 10 ps, a new molecule is included in the gas-phase with a random direction and is initially positioned at least 1 nm far from any system atom/molecule. Simulations are performed by reactive MD and hybrid MD/Monte Carlo (MD/tMC) techniques in the case of thermal and plasma-assisted SiNW oxidations, respectively.²⁵,³⁵,³⁷ In these calculations, 1 ML corresponds to 56 O atoms.

At 1200 K, the SiNW is completely oxidized after 10 ML of O₂ fluence, in agreement with the experimental observation that this is a sufficient temperature for complete oxidation of a SiNW with an initial radius of 15 nm or less. At 300 K, however, the oxide growth process saturates after 40 ML, resulting in an oxide thickness of 1 nm. The Si-core radius drops from 1.0 to 0.5 nm, and consequently, the total SiNW radius increases to about 1.5 nm. Since the molecular volume of Si-oxide is larger than the atomic volume of Si, the newly formed oxide exerts a tensile stress, in order to accommodate the volume expansion.⁷,¹¹,¹² At the end of the oxidation process, the distribution of the Si-suboxide components in the oxidized SiNW indicates two different final structures, i.e.,
silicon—silica (SiSiOxSiOy) and pure silica (SiO2), which are obtained at low and high temperatures, respectively. The calculated mass density of both oxide structures is around 2250 kg/m³, which is close to the value for amorphous silica. The RDF analysis shows three peaks, i.e., Si−O bond, nonbonded O−O, and Si−Si neighbor distances, distributed around 0.16, 0.25, and 0.32 nm, respectively. This is in agreement with both experiments and with other calcula-
Figure 8. (a) Cross sections of the c-SiSiO$_2$rta-SiO$_2$ nanowires with initial diameter in the range 1.5–3 nm after oxidation at $T = 300–800$ K. (b) Final radii of Si-core ($r$) and SiNW ($R$), compared with theoretical calculations, derived from eq 1. Reproduced from ref 37 by permission of the Royal Society of Chemistry.

tions$^{31–33}$ and indicates that the oxide is $a$-SiO$_2$. In the oxide structure, the distribution of $O$–$Si$–$O$ and $Si$–$O$–$Si$ angles fluctuates around 150° and 110°, respectively, also indicating that the structure is amorphous.$^{31–37}$ At low temperature, two extra RDF peaks at 0.23 and 0.38 nm indicate the $Si$–$Si$ first and second nearest-neighbors in the Si-core ($c$-$Si$), respectively. Also, the average $Si$–$Si$–$Si$ angle is about 110° in the Si-core. Moreover, an additional $O$–$Si$–$O$ angle is found at around 30° due to peroxyl bonds (0.13 nm),$^{34}$ which also play a role in the appearance of overcoordinated $Si^{5+}$ species (see $R_1$ in Figure 5) on the $a$-$SiO$_2$ surface.

Indeed, we can obtain c-SiSiO$_2$hta-SiO$_2$ structures at low temperature, whereas a c-$Si$ nanowire completely converts to an $a$-$SiO$_2$ nanowire at high temperature. Note that this conversion/transition may also depend on the physical state of the nanosystem due to the Gibbs-Tomson effect.$^{38}$ The formation of such partially oxidized SiNW structure due to self-limiting oxidation,$^{11,12}$ can be theoretically explained by the Kao model,$^7$ which is a popular extension of the traditional Deal–Grove model for wet ($H_2O$) oxidation of nonplanar (convex and concave) Si surfaces with micrometer-length. However, the kinetics of the early oxidation stage in dry ($O_2$) and plasma-assisted (O, HO, HO$_2$, etc.) oxidation cannot properly be described by this model.$^{7,35,39}$ Also, this microscale model fails for the nanoscale regime, in which stresses depend also on the crystallographic facets of the (ultra)small SiNW.$^{36,40}$

The calculations show that while the axial ($\sigma_{rr}$) stress is nearly zero, the radial ($\sigma_{\theta\theta}$) and shear ($\sigma_{\theta z}$) stresses (Figure 7a) depend on the nonoxidized nanowire facet at low temperatures (Figure 6a). For instance, $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$ is tensile (negative) for the {110} facet, while it is compressive (positive) for the {001} facet of small Si-NWs ($d_0 = 1.5–3$ nm) at 300 K.$^{36,37}$ Also the sticking probability of oxidant species depends on such facet-dependent stresses in the oxidation onset.$^{35}$ In the oxidation, on the other hand, compressive radial stresses at the c-SiSiO$_2$ interface (Figure 7c) continuously slow down the reaction rate, while the compressive pressure ($i.e., p = -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{rr} + \sigma_{\theta\theta})$) in $a$-$SiO$_2$ can, on the other hand, reduce the oxidant diffusion and solubility, resulting in self-limiting oxidation, similar to microscale SiNW oxidation.$^{7,11,12}$

Indeed, the inward oxide growth depends on the $\sigma_{rr}$ rather than $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$ and $\sigma_{zz}$ stresses in the $Si$-core. During the oxidation, the compressive radial stress gradually increases with values of 1.6 GPa, 2.0 and 3.0 GPa after 10 ML, 40 and 60 ML, respectively, at 300 K. At still higher fluence, the interfacial stress remains constant at about 3 GPa. The overall results show that the final interfacial stresses inversely depend on growth temperature ($i.e., \sigma_{rr} \sim 1/T$).$^{40}$ For instance, the stresses are 3.2, 3.0, 2.6, 2.2, and 2.04 GPa at 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 K, respectively for the 2.5 nm Si-NW (Figure 7b). This is in excellent agreement with the microscale oxidation theory.$^7$ However, curvature-dependent behavior of the interfacial stresses is not accounted for in this theory. Indeed, we find averaged interfacial stresses of 2.9, 3.0, 3.2, and 3.5 GPa for the NWs with 1.5, 2, 2.5, and 3 nm diameter, respectively (Figure 7b). This curvature-stress phenomenon can be explained by considering that the sub-10 nm Si-core deforms more to compensate for the volume expansion of the surface oxide layer, resulting in a smaller compressive stress.$^{40}$ Furthermore, crystal orientation effects may play a role as well in this nanoscale phenomenon.$^{37}$

Thanks to such temperature and curvature dependent stresses, control over the ultrathin oxide seems possible. Figure 8a demonstrates that both the $Si$-core radius ($r$) and the SiNW radius ($R$) depend on the initial diameter ($d_0$) and inversely depend on the oxidation temperature ($T$), $i.e., r$ (or $R$) $\sim \frac{d_0}{T}$.

The maximum radius of the $Si$-core reaches about 0.26 nm, 0.46 nm, 0.65 and 0.91 nm for 1.5, 2, 2.5, and 3 nm diameter Si-NWs, respectively. Due to the increasing diffusivity and mobility of the penetrated oxygen atoms,$^{37}$ the core radius drops with rising temperature and consequently the nanowire converts to a pure SiO$_x$ ($x \leq 2$) nanowire at a certain $T_{\text{trans}}$, which is roughly equal to 500, 600, 700, and 800 K for Si-NWs with diameters of 1.5, 2, 2.5, and 3 nm, respectively.

Note that, however, the contribution of long time scale diffusion$^{37,201}$ is not fully accounted for in these MD simulations.
and thus the partially oxidized structure might finally become fully oxidized even below $T_{\text{trans}}$. Nevertheless, the final radii of the c-Si$_{\text{SiO}}$$_2$-$\text{SiO}_2$ nanowires, as obtained by the simulations, can be compared with the following volume balance, to which also experimental results are often compared:  

$$\pi(R^2 - r^2)L - \pi(R_0^2 - r_0^2)L = \frac{\Omega_{\text{SiO}}}{\Omega_{\text{Si}}} \pi(R_0^2 - r_0^2)L$$  

where $r_0$, $r$, $R_0$, and $R$ are the initial and final radii of Si-core and oxidized SiNW, respectively; $L$ is the SiNW length; $\Omega_{\text{SiO}}$ is the molecular volume of SiO$_2$ (0.045 nm$^3$), and $\Omega_{\text{Si}}$ is the atomic volume of Si (0.02 nm$^3$). Based on the initial conditions (i.e., $r_0 = R_0$), the relation between the final SiNW ($R$) and Si-core ($r$) radii for partially oxidized structures with initial diameters ($d_0 = 2r_0$) is calculated by $R = \sqrt{2.5r_0^3 - 1.25r^2}$, which is derived from eq 1. The obtained results are very close to this theoretical calculation (gray curves in Figure 8b), clearly indicating that the nanowire diameter (or curvature) and growth temperature are the main parameters to control the growth of nanowires with ultrathin oxide.

In addition to thickness control, low-temperature thermal$^8$ and plasma-assisted oxidation$^9$ also hold promise to obtain ultrasmall SiNWs with diameters less than 1 nm by etching (or removing) their oxide shell, which in turn allows obtaining nanowires with larger band gaps.$^9$

### CONCLUSIONS

In this Account, two Si nano-oxidation techniques as options to control the ultrathin Si-oxide thickness are discussed from a computational point of view. To prevent energy-induced damage, the incident energy of oxygen species is preferentially chosen in the range of 1–5 eV in hyperthermal oxidation of planar Si surfaces. The hyperthermal growth mechanism shows two growth modes, which are not observed in the traditional thermal oxidation, nor theoretically considered by already existing models. In these modes, the oxide thickness depends either on (1) the kinetic energy of the incident oxygen species if the growth temperature is below the transition temperature ($T_{\text{trans}} = 600$ K), or (2) on both the incident energy and the growth temperature if the growth temperature is above $T_{\text{trans}}$. Below $T_{\text{trans}}$ the oxygen mobility (diffusivity) is significantly reduced due to interfacial stresses in the SiO$_2$ region, allowing one to control the thickness of ultrathin a-SiO$_2$. Alternatively, control over such ultrathin amorphous oxide can also be obtained by thermal or plasma-assisted oxidation of small Si nanowires. In the self-limiting oxidation at low temperature, partially oxidized nanostructures are obtained due to curvature and temperature dependent stresses at the c-Si$_{\text{SiO}}$-$\text{SiO}_2$ interface. In both oxidation cases, the type of oxygen species and their fluence (or flux) can affect the oxide thickness as well, besides the energy and temperature effects. The obtained oxide structure is amorphous, whereas the structure contains some intrinsic defects, such as O peroxyl linkages and Si-epoxide bonds, which are also observed in thermal oxidation experiments.

In general, these two Si nano-oxidation methods strongly indicate that the scaling of the ultrathin thickness is possible by accurately tuning the oxidant energy, the growth temperature, and the surface curvature.
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