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Abstract

A reactive ReaxFF force field is developed for studying the influence of intrinsic point defects on the chemistry with TiO$_2$ condensed phases. The force field parameters are optimized to ab initio data for the equations of state, relative phase stabilities for titanium and titanium dioxide, potential energy differences for (TiO$_2$)$_n$-clusters ($n = 1$–$16$). Also data for intrinsic point defects in anatase were added. These data contain formation energies for interstitial titanium and oxygen vacancies, diffusion barriers of the oxygen vacancies and molecular oxygen adsorption on a reduced anatase (101) surface. Employing the resulting force field, we study the influence of concentration of oxygen vacancies and expansion or compression of an anatase surface on the diffusion of the oxygen vacancies. Also the barrier for oxygen diffusion in the subsurface region is evaluated using this force field. This diffusion barrier of 27.7 kcal/mol indicates that the lateral redistribution of oxygen vacancies on the surface and in the subsurface will be dominated by their diffusion in the subsurface, since both this barrier as well as the barriers for diffusion from the surface to the subsurface and vice versa (17.07 kcal/mol and 21.91 kcal/mol, respectively, as calculated with DFT), are significantly lower than for diffusion on the surface (61.12 kcal/mol as calculated with DFT).

1. Introduction

Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) is the natural occurring oxide of titanium, which exists in various polymorphs. The three most stable polymorphs are rutile, anatase and brookite, in that order of abundance. Thanks to its high reactivity, anatase is widely applied in photocatalysis [1] and solar energy conversion [2]. Especially the surface is critically important for these applications, and for this reason the interest in the chemical and physical properties of the surfaces has increased significantly in the past decades. The reader is referred to a review [3] for a summary of the research on TiO$_2$ surfaces.

The higher catalytic activity of anatase with respect to rutile is due to the behaviour of its intrinsic point defects. It is indeed well known that point defects strongly affect the physical and chemical properties of metal oxides. In heterogeneous catalysis the defect sites act as an initiator for adsorption of molecules and/or metal particles. In photocatalysis the defects influence the surface reactivity, either favourably or detrimentally. A favourable effect occurs when oxygen vacancies act as trap sites for photoexcited charge carriers such that these carriers are transported to the surface. A detrimental effect occurs when these oxygen vacancies act as recombination centers for these carriers which will lower the reactivity. Not only the “chemistry” is influenced significantly by these defects, but the diffusion of point defects also plays a key role in the mass transport between the surface and the bulk during surface preparation techniques such as annealing or sputtering [4].

The location of the defect determines its role and its properties. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations demonstrated that for an anatase (101) surface, which is the lowest energy and most exposed surface [5,6], the subsurface oxygen vacancies are 0.5 eV more stable than surface vacancies [7]. The diffusion barriers of these defects from the surface region to the subsurface region are around 1 eV [7]. This indicates that surface oxygen vacancies, once formed, diffuse relatively easily to the subsurface, which is consistent with the low density of surface defects found experimentally with scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [8,9] and the high density of O vacancies indicated by ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (UPS) [10] that also accesses the subsurface region. Therefore, the subsurface oxygen vacancies will play a more prominent role than the surface vacancies; this is in contrast with rutile where the opposite trend is observed, both theoretically [4] as well as experimentally with STM [8,9] and UPS [10]. Because
of the differences in these trends, anatase has a higher catalytic activity than rutile. The subsurface defects have a longer lifetime than surface defects, because the latter will be quenched by molecules in the environment.

Because of the accuracy and speed of modern quantum mechanical (QM) methods, they can be used to calculate the energy and the geometry of molecules and solid state systems. Ab initio MD has also been extensively used to model dynamical processes of relatively large molecules adsorbed on solid state substrates. However to reach larger time and space scales, classical molecular dynamics simulations may be used as a complimentary technique. In this work, we developed a classical reactive force field for titanium dioxide for the ReaxFF method developed by van Duin and coworkers [11]. The main focus of this work field is the correct description of intrinsic point defects, oxygen vacancies and titanium interstitials in anatase. The developed force field thus allows larger spatial scale and longer time scale simulations of the titanium dioxide system compared to DFT, with comparable accuracy. For instance, in the LAMMPS implementation of ReaxFF [12], it is possible to simulate systems with $10^8$ atoms at nanosecond timescales [13–16]. Within LAMMPS there is also another variable-charge reactive force field implemented, namely the charge-optimized many-body potential (COMB) developed by Sinnott, Phillpot and coworkers [17–22]. Also for COMB a parametrization for the titanium dioxide system has been developed [23].

2. Computational methods

2.1. ReaxFF

ReaxFF is a generic bond order dependent force field. In this method the forces are derived from the following energy expression:

$$E_{\text{system}} = E_{\text{band}} + E_{\text{over}} + E_{\text{under}} + E_{\text{p}} + E_{\text{cal}} + E_{\text{vdWalls}} + E_{\text{coulomb}}$$

The energy expression for the system consists of different partial contributions: bond energies ($E_{\text{band}}$), energy penalties for over-coordination ($E_{\text{over}}$) and (optionally) stabilize under-coordination of atoms ($E_{\text{under}}$ and $E_{\text{under}}$), lone-pair energies ($E_{\text{lone}}$), valence angle energies ($E_{\text{val}}$) and terms to handle non-bonded van der Waals ($E_{\text{vdWalls}}$) and Coulomb ($E_{\text{coulomb}}$) interaction energies. All terms except the non-bonding terms include a bond-order dependence and depend on the local environment of each atom. Bond-orders are determined by a general relation between interatomic distance and bond-order. The bond-order dependence is generated by an expression of which the parameters are fitted to a large database of structures and energies as described below. With this expression the bond-order is calculated throughout the MD-simulation determined by the instantaneous interatomic distances. ReaxFF is capable of describing charge transfer in chemical reactions. This is possible because the Coulomb energy ($E_{\text{coulomb}}$) is calculated by using a geometry dependent charge distribution determined using the electronegativity equalization method (EEM) [24]. The individual atomic charges are calculated in each time step of the MD-simulation. Short-range Pauli repulsion and long-range dispersion are included in the van der Waals energy term ($E_{\text{vdWalls}}$). A more detailed description of the ReaxFF method can be found in van Duin et al. [11] and Chenoweth et al. [25]. This Ti–O force field has the same 0 atomic parameters and O/H pair parameters as recently published ReaxFF descriptions for Zn/O/H [26], Fe/O/H [27], Si/O/H [28], proteins [29], Ti/O/H [30–32] making it straightforward to integrate these potentials. All mentioned force fields have the same O atomic parameters and O/H pair parameters. Only the Ti/H, Ti–O–H, O–Ti–O–H and Ti–O–O–H interactions thus need to be included in a further fitting to expand this force field to Ti/O/H. As best available initial guess for the development of a force field that describes the influence of intrinsic point defects on the chemistry of TiO$_2$, the Ti/O/H force field developed by Kim and co-workers [31,32] was used. In the future, the force field as developed in this paper, describing the chemistry of the intrinsic point defects, will be expanded to the interaction of water and organic molecules with reduced surfaces.

2.2. Training set

In this work the force field parameters for the TiO$_2$-system have been re-evaluated, starting from the ReaxFF Ti/O parameters, as developed earlier by Kim and co-workers [30–32], but refocusing the training on intrinsic point defects, which were previously not considered. The training set consists of a set of QM and some experimental data. This data is taken from literature, the QM level and some of the computational details are given between parentheses after the description of the data points added to the training set. For the equations of state (EOS) of titanium dioxide, required for an adequate description of volume-energy relations, approximately 10 data points for each of the 8 TiO$_2$ polymorphs (anatase, rutile, brookite, columbite, baddeleyite, pyrite, fluorite and cottenite), are added to the training set with an increment of about 2% increase or decrease in volume. (All polymorphs except brookite: LCAO-HF-TVAE [33], brookite: DFT-B3LYP-6-31G [22]) The QM results indicate that anatase is more stable than rutile while the experiment indicates otherwise, and therefore the cohesive energy differences of anatase, rutile and brookite are taken from experiment [35]. The five high energy polymorphs are not important for the final result, even though they are not very accurate. To match the corresponding geometry of each data point, the unit cell of each phase is expanded or contracted within the ReaxFF calculation.

For the three most abundant phases of titanium dioxide, i.e., rutile, anatase and brookite, the heats of formation were used [36]. Also potential energy differences of 30 (TiO$_2$)$_x$-clusters ($x = 1–16$) are added [30,37–39] (DFT-B3LYP, LACVP$^*-*$).

For the anatase (101) surface the formation energies of oxygen vacancies at the surface and in the subsurface [7,40] (DFT-GGA PBE, Γ-point, spin restricted), the diffusion barriers of these vacancies [7,40] (DFT-GGA PBE, $2 \times 2 \times 1$ k-point mesh, spin restricted, NEB), the formation energies of interstitial titanium [40] (DFT-GGA PBE, Γ-point, spin restricted) and the oxygen adsorption energies at the reduced surface [41] (DFT-GGA PBE, Γ-point, spin unrestricted) are added to the training set.

2.3. Force field fitting

The force field parameters were fitted to the training set containing all the data points mentioned in the previous section. The parameters that were adjusted are the Ti atomic parameters, the Ti–Ti, Ti–O and O–O bond parameters and the O–Ti–O, Ti–O–Ti, Ti–O–O and Ti–Ti–O valence angle parameters. To find the most optimal set of parameters a sequential one-parameter search [42] has been used to minimize the sum-of-squares error function:

$$\text{Error} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{x_{\text{QM},i} - x_{\text{ReaxFF},i}}{\sigma_{i}} \right)^2$$

In this equation $x_{\text{QM},i}$ is the QM value in the training set, $x_{\text{ReaxFF},i}$ is the ReaxFF calculated value and $\sigma_{i}$ is the weight assigned to data point i. In total around 270 data points were added and 240 corresponding structures were used in the force field fitting. The sequential one-parameter search method has been performed in multiple cycles of 88 adjusted parameters to account for parameter
3. Results and discussion

In this section we will compare the ReaxFF calculated data, the data added to the training set and the data as calculated with other ReaxFF force fields [30–32]. These data consist of the equations-of-state, relative phase stabilities, TiO$_2$-cluster stabilities, formation energies of interstitial titanium and oxygen vacancies, diffusion barriers of the oxygen vacancies and oxygen adsorption energies on a reduced anatase (101) surface. This comparison between the data as calculated with the currently developed force field, two other force fields and the data which was included in the training set is discussed in subsections 3.1–3.4. Also subsurface diffusion and the influence of defect concentration and compressing or expanding the anatase (101) surface on the oxygen vacancies diffusion barriers will be presented in subsection 3.5; this section contains only data as obtained with the force field developed in this article.

In the further discussion the current developed ReaxFF force field parameterization is simply indicated as “ReaxFF”. If Kim is mentioned the ReaxFF force field as published in Ref. [30] is meant, and when Monti is mentioned the ReaxFF force field as published in Refs. [31,32] is meant.

3.1. Equations of state (EOS) and relative phase stability

Fig. 1 shows the cohesive energy differences between the different titanium dioxide polymorphs relative to rutile. ReaxFF predicts that anatase is more stable than rutile, which is inconsistent with the experimental data where an energy difference is found of 0.62 kcal/mol [35]. The stability, however, is dependent on the surface area, and for larger surface areas anatase becomes indeed more stable than rutile [43]. The value used by Levchenko et al. [44] and Smith et al. [45] is 0.4 ± 0.1 kcal/mol with rutile the most stable polymorph. For such a small difference it is not surprising that the ReaxFF force field does not reproduce the correct energy difference. Since phase transitions in solid phases lay far beyond the time scale typically attainable in MD simulations, this will, however, not cause problems. The cohesive energy differences for the four most stable phases (rutile, anatase, brookite and columbite) are correct within 1.8 kcal/mol, respectively. Overall, however, all three force fields are in decent agreement with the QM data.

The Kim and Monti force fields reproduce the cohesive energy differences of TiO$_2$ within 1.8 and 2.1 kcal/mol, respectively. In case of the Kim force field the order of the relative stabilities for the most stable phases is correct. The Monti force field, on the other hand, predicts the anatase phase to be less stable than rutile, which is consistent with the experimental data, but more stable than brookite, which is inconsistent with the experimental data. This means that the trends in the other force fields are comparable to or slightly better than those in the current force field, but since phase transitions lay beyond the typical time scale of MD simulations, this will not cause problems during simulations.

The volume–energy relationship in ReaxFF describes the expansion of rutile very well, but when compressing the structure the error increases gradually up to 4.75 kcal/mol (28.6% error) when the volume is reduced with 15%. The three force fields reproduce similar equations of state. The Monti force field reproduces the compression in a better way, while the Kim force field performs worse when compression occurs.

The volume–energy relationship of anatase is described very well overall, showing a maximum discrepancy of 0.81 kcal/mol (11.7% error) for a compression of 10%, while an error of only 0.65 kcal/mol (11.26% error) is found when the volume is expanded with 11%. This accurate description of the volume–energy relationship of anatase thus demonstrates the reliability of the force field with respect to a description of pressure effects on the chemistry of anatase. In the case of the Monti and Kim force fields the minimum is (incorrectly) shifted to lower volumes and the shape of the curve differs from the QM one. The Kim force field gives a curve which is a bit too narrow and Monti generates a curve which is too flat in the case of compression, corresponding to force constant which is too high and too low, respectively. Overall, however, all three force fields are in decent agreement with the QM data.

Finally, also the EOS of brookite is described well, with the error gradually increasing up to 3 kcal/mol (24.7% error) when the correlation [11]. The result of the force field fitting and a comparison between the training set, reference QM data, and the ReaxFF calculated data are presented below.

Fig. 1. Energy differences between different TiO$_2$ polymorphs relative to rutile. The experimental data (anatase, brookite) are from Ref. [35] and the QM data (·) from reference [33].

Fig. 2. Comparison of the EOS of rutile calculated with ReaxFF, QM [33], Monti and Kim.
The force fields of Kim and of Monti have errors within the same order of magnitude.

In Table 1 the lattice parameters as calculated with QM methods and ReaxFF are compared with the experimental values. As can be seen by the percentile deviations between the calculated and measured values, the currently developed force field overestimates the lattice parameters in all cases. The QM methods underestimate the a/b lattice value of rutile and anatase, and overestimate all other lattice parameters. The overestimation of the lattice parameters by ReaxFF is the same for all lattice parameters of the same polymorph of titanium dioxide. This means that the crystal structure is expanded equally in every direction in comparison with the experiments.

3.2. TiO₂ clusters

To ensure that the developed force field is able to describe the influence of structure and size on the potential energy per TiO₂ unit, 30 TiO₂ cluster structures of the type (TiO₂)ₙ with n equal to 1–16 were added to the training set for the force field fitting. Fig. 5 shows the comparison of the results obtained with ReaxFF, DFT and the Monti and Kim force fields for the potential energy difference of 29 different (TiO₂)ₙ cluster configurations divided by n, the number of TiO₂ units, with the (TiO₂)₁₆ potential energy divided by 16 taken as reference point. The different structures are depicted in Fig. 6. A reasonable agreement with the QM data [30,37–39] is found for the smaller cluster sizes up to 4, and the error converges for the larger clusters. The data produced by Kim’s force field is in very good agreement with the QM data, while Monti’s force field gives results for which the agreement is in between the currently developed force field and the one from Kim. The discrepancy between ReaxFF and QM decreases with increasing cluster size, which is a clear indication that large-scale simulations for TiO₂ will be accurate. “The larger discrepancies with ReaxFF between the relative stabilities for the smaller cluster sizes up to (TiO₂)₄ are caused by the oxygen atoms which are only bonded to one titanium atom; this type of oxygen atom is not found in the larger cluster sizes. The Ti–O bond lengths for this type of oxygen atom are found to be ~13.5% too short compared to the QM data, while the bond lengths for this type of oxygen are found to be too short; the other Ti–O bond lengths are almost identical in ReaxFF compared to DFT.”
Fig. 6. The DFT optimized configurations of the TiO$_2$ clusters (Ti = gray, O = red (dark gray)). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
3.3. Point defects

Point defects play an important role in the surface chemistry of metal oxides like titanium dioxide. They can act as centers where molecules can adsorb. For instance, molecular oxygen will not adsorb on a stoichiometric anatase (101) surface, but it will adsorb when an oxygen vacancy is present in the subsurface [41]. An important feature of anatase (101) is the distribution of oxygen vacancies in the surface and the subsurface, as this distribution determines the catalytic superiority of anatase compared to rutile [40]. There are more subsurface vacancies present in anatase than surface vacancies because of the lower formation energy and thus higher stability and the low (around 1 eV) diffusion barriers. The opposite trend is found for rutile [7,40]. Because of this clear importance of the point defects on the surface chemistry of anatase, data for these defects were added to the training set as well. These data consist of oxygen vacancy formation energies, diffusion barriers for oxygen vacancies, interstitial titanium formation energies and adsorption energies of molecular oxygen at a reduced anatase (101) surface.

3.3.1. Interstitial titanium

In Fig. 8 the formation energies of interstitial titanium are shown, calculated with the new force field, with DFT [40] and with the force fields of Monti and Kim. T1–T6 represent the different locations where the interstitial titanium atom can occur in an anatase (101) surface composed of 72 atoms, corresponding to 3 atomic layers. The positions of T1–T6 are shown in Fig. 7. The nomenclature is the same as in Ref. [40]. T2 is not shown because this was found not to be stable during the minimization, which is
an interstitial titanium present, the dissociation of water is found to be exothermic. In contrast, in all other cases the dissociation is found to be endothermic. Another theoretical study [47], which is focused on the adsorption and dissociation of CO2 on an anatase (101) stoichiometric and reduced surface, indicates that interstitial titanium and oxygen vacancies increase the adsorption energies of CO2 in comparison with the stoichiometric anatase (101) surface. For the most stable CO2 adsorption configurations the energy barriers of dissociation are 20.8 kcal/mol and 17.5 kcal/mol for surfaces with an oxygen vacancy and interstitial titanium present, respectively. Interstitial titanium seems to increase the adsorption energies and decrease the energy barriers for dissociation of water and CO2 more than oxygen vacancies, but these differences are within reasonable level. They do play a role, however, for the mass transport occurring between the surface and the bulk when the structure is annealed [4], due to their particularly low diffusion barriers (less than 0.5 eV) [40].

The diffusion pathways of the interstitial titanium [40] were not used during the fitting of the current force field. The comparison between the values calculated with ReaxFF and with DFT [40] are given in Table 2. The errors on the ReaxFF values are large, so it is advisable to not use the currently developed force field for reactions where the diffusion of the interstitial titanium might be important. In the case of migration from site T3 to T4 and T5 to T6 the discrepancies are reasonable so that the diffusion still might occur at room temperature. For reactions where the diffusion is not part of the reaction mechanism the force field will be able to reproduce the mechanism as long as the interstitial titanium defect was present at the desired site.

### Table 2: Diffusion barriers for interstitial in anatase (101) as calculated with ReaxFF and DFT [40].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Direct pathway</th>
<th>Reverse pathway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(E_{\text{ReaxFF}}) (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>(E_{\text{QM}}) (kcal/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1–T3</td>
<td>76.10</td>
<td>8.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3–T4</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4–T5</td>
<td>44.28</td>
<td>10.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5–T6</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

consistent with the diffusion energy barrier from T2 to T1 to be 0 kcal/mol as calculated with DFT [40]. ReaxFF underestimates all formation energies compared to the DFT result, especially for T1, for which the formation energy calculated with ReaxFF lies 9.5% below the value calculated with DFT [40]. This means that ReaxFF overestimates the significance of T1 in comparison with T3 and T4. More importantly, however, ReaxFF correctly predicts the T5 and T6 to be the most stable ones. This is specifically important for the defect distribution, since this distribution depends on the difference in formation energies between the different sites. The force fields of Kim and of Monti yield the same trends as found with the currently developed force field, but the formation energies as found with these force fields are about an order of magnitude off, such that the formation of interstitial titanium defects will occur too easily and therefore the concentration of these defects would be too high. The formation energies of interstitial titanium as calculated with DFT and ReaxFF are approximately twice the values of the formation energies of the oxygen vacancies, so they are of less importance for the surface chemistry. The higher formation energies of interstitial titanium compared to oxygen vacancies also directly correlate with their lower stability, which might cause a higher reactivity of the surface. In a theoretical study [46] concerning the influence of subsurface defects on the water adsorption and dissociation of water on an anatase (101) stoichiometric and reduced surface, it is found that both interstitial titanium and oxygen vacancies increase the adsorption energy of adsorbed water in the vicinity of these defects. The energy barrier for the dissociation of water is decreased from 12.91 kcal/mol on the stoichiometric surface to 5.53 kcal/mol and 6.00 kcal/mol, for an anatase (101) surface with an interstitial titanium and a surface with an oxygen vacancy present, respectively. At one adsorption site in the case of

### 3.3.2. Oxygen vacancies

In Fig. 10 the formation energies for oxygen vacancies in three different anatase (101) surfaces are shown, calculated with the new force field, with DFT [7,40] and with the force fields of Monti and Kim. The structure containing 216 atoms (corresponding to the stoichiometric structure) consists of 6 atomic layers, while the

![Fig. 7. Different positions for interstitial titanium in the anatase (101) surface.](image)

![Fig. 8. Formation energies calculated with ReaxFF, DFT [40], Monti and Kim for the different interstitial titanium sites located in the anatase (101) surface (see Fig. 7).](image)
structures containing 72 and 108 atoms consist of 3 atomic layers. These different structures are all used in the training set to account for the increasing stability of subsurface vacancies relative to the surface vacancies for increasing slab thickness [40]. This is caused by the fact that the surface is more rigid than the subsurface, as indicated by the analysis of the structural relaxations around the vacancy sites, which shows larger atomic displacements in the subsurface region than at the surface [40]. If the structure size increases there will be more possibilities to relax if a vacancy is formed in the subsurface then when one is formed at the surface. Nomenclature can be found on Fig. 9 and is the same as in Ref. [40]. \( V_{O1}, V_{O2} \) and \( V_{O3} \) are surface oxygen vacancies of which \( V_{O1} \) has the lowest formation energy and therefore will be the most abundant. \( V_{O4} \) and \( V_{O5} \) are subsurface vacancies which have a considerably lower formation energy, \( \Delta E \approx 11.5 \) kcal/mol, than that of \( V_{O1} \), so they will play a more prominent role in the surface chemistry of anatase. The relative probability of formation of a surface vacancy \( V_O \) with respect to subsurface sites is \( \approx 4 \times 10^{-9} \) and \( \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \) at \( T = 300 \text{ K} \) and \( 900 \text{ K} \), respectively. The QM values for \( V_{O4} \) and \( V_{O5} \) are almost identical, i.e., 85.09 and 84.17 kcal/mol, respectively, and they are almost identical to the bulk \( V_O \) formation energy, which is 85.09 kcal/mol (as calculated with only the \( \Gamma \)-point), so \( V_{O4} \) and \( V_{O5} \) can be considered "bulk-like" [7,40].

The values and the trends for the formation energies of \( V_O \) are reproduced well for such an extensive data set that describes different influences on the formation energies. The root mean square

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (E_{\text{form}} - \langle E_{\text{form}} \rangle)^2
\]

Fig. 10. Oxygen vacancy formation energies calculated with ReaxFF, DFT [7,40], Monti and Kim for the different anatase (101) surfaces (216 atoms (6 atom layers), 108 and 72 atoms (3 atom layers).

Table 3
Diffusion barriers for oxygen vacancies in anatase (101) as calculated with ReaxFF and DFT [7,40].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Direct pathway</th>
<th>Reverse pathway</th>
<th>Diffusion direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( E_{\text{ReaxFF}} ) (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>( E_{\text{QM}} ) (kcal/mol)</td>
<td>( E_{\text{ReaxFF}} ) (kcal/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{O1} \to V_{O3} )</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>61.12</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{O1} \to V_{O3} )</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{O1} \to V_{O4} )</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{O2} \to V_{O3} )</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{O1} \to V_{O4} )</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>17.99</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. The ReaxFF optimized \( V_{O1} \to V_{O4} \) pathway (Ti = gray, O = red (dark gray), Moving O = yellow (light gray)). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
error on the energy is 4.08 kcal/mol. A few rather large discrepancies, up to 9.4% for the least stable surface vacancies, VO2, occur, while good agreement for VO1 and VO4 is obtained for which the root mean square error is 1.98 kcal/mol and 2.50 kcal/mol, respectively. The QM bulk oxygen vacancy formation energy is 101.70 kcal/mol (2 × 2 × 2 k-point mesh) [40] and 85.09 kcal/mol (Γ-point) [40], in comparison the ReaxFF value of 95.89 kcal/mol, which is close to the average between both QM values. For Kims and Monti’s force field the VO1 formation energy is lower than that of the subsurface vacancy sites. This is opposite to the trend shown by the DFT data. This fact, together with the fact that the errors for the oxygen vacancy formation energies of the two force fields are about one order of magnitude off, makes that these force fields not capable of accurately describing the differences between the different oxygen vacancies. Because of these large discrepancies in absolute numbers and trends the oxygen vacancy diffusion barriers were not calculated for these two force fields.

The diffusion barriers of the oxygen vacancies in anatase (1 0 1), as calculated with ReaxFF and with DFT [7,40], can be found in Table 3. The barriers were calculated using Nudged Elastic Band [48]. These are the diffusion barriers for the anatase (1 0 1) surface consisting of 72 atoms and 3 atomic layers. Note that for the VO1 → VO4 pathway the diffusion barrier for the reverse pathway will be higher for a structure with for example 6 atomic layers. This is caused by the stabilisation of VO4 relative to VO1 because of the increasing possibilities to relax the perturbation. Only the unique and the lowest pathways were added to the training set. For example, the lowest energy pathway VO1 → VO2 consists of a combination of VO1 → VO3 and VO3 → VO2.

The barriers and the trends in the barriers are described quite well by our force field. Especially the most important pathway, VO1 → VO4b, is described very well with a maximum error of 0.5 kcal/mol. Only the diffusion between VO2 and VO3 shows larger discrepancies which is caused by the large error in the relative stability of VO2. This, however, is of less importance because especially the distribution between the surface and subsurface vacancies will influence the surface chemistry and reactivity. The pathway of VO1 → VO4 is shown in Fig. 11. This pathway consists of two atoms moving simultaneously. Vacancy diffusion on the surface is largely inhibited, particularly the VO1 → VO1 diffusion along [0 1 0], which has a barrier of 61.12 kcal/mol as calculated with DFT [40]. The VO1 → VO1 diffusion pathway is shown in Fig. 12.

Fig. 12. The ReaxFF optimized VO1 → VO1 pathway (Ti = gray, O = red (dark gray), Moving O = yellow (light gray)). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
3.4. Oxygen adsorption

Molecular oxygen (O$_2$) plays an important role in many of the TiO$_2$-based catalytic processes. It is important to gather atomic scale information on the interaction of oxygen with reduced anatase surfaces to gain a better fundamental insight in which factors play an important role in the catalytic processes. The adsorption energies of two O$_2$ molecules on anatase (101) containing a VO$_{4^-}$ vacancy have been added to the training set [41]. The behaviour of molecular adsorption is different for one O$_2$ molecule and for two O$_2$ molecules adsorbed at the surface. In the case only one O$_2$ molecule is adsorbed, it will behave as a peroxide, O$_2^-$/C$_0$, whereas the adsorbed molecules behave as a superoxide, O$_2^-$/C$_0$, when two O$_2$ are adsorbed [41]. Since ReaxFF is a classical force field, it cannot describe both situations, as both structures have a comparable geometry but a different electronic structure. However, the peroxide state will only play a role at very low coverages. Moreover, the superoxide state is also the state found in experimental studies [49,50]. We therefore chose to add the superoxide data and not the peroxide data. It is also found theoretically that it is more favourable by 3.23 kcal/mol to have two O$_2$ molecules adsorbed than one O$_2$ adsorbed and one O$_2$ in the gas phase [41]. Since ReaxFF is a classical force field, it cannot describe both situations, as both structures have a comparable geometry but a different electronic structure. However, the peroxide state will only play a role at very low coverages. Moreover, the superoxide state is also the state found in experimental studies [49,50]. We therefore chose to add the superoxide data and not the peroxide data. It is also found theoretically that it is more favourable by 3.23 kcal/mol to have two O$_2$ molecules adsorbed than one O$_2$ adsorbed and one O$_2$ in the gas phase [41]. This also indicates that the adsorbed O$_2$ molecule is more stable in its superoxide state than in its peroxide state. The different adsorption sites on an anatase (101) surface consisting of 3 atomic layers and 108 atoms (corresponding to the stoichiometric structure) are indicated in Fig. 13 and correspond to the same sites as in Ref. [41]. The ReaxFF, the DFT [41], Monti and Kim data are represented in Fig. 14. The site combination 1 + 5 is the most stable one in the ReaxFF and DFT calculations, due to the fact that in this combination the O$_2$ molecules are maximally separated and have one O$_2$ at the closest adsorption site relative to the VO$_{4^-}$ oxygen vacancy (site 5). The stability of the adsorbed state increases when a molecule is adsorbed closer to the defect. This trend is well reproduced by the force field. It should be noted, however, that the differences in adsorption energies between the most stable site combinations and the least stable are somewhat larger in ReaxFF than in DFT calculations [41]. This will cause a shift in distribution of the adsorbed states at thermodynamic equilibrium on the defected surface. At thermodynamic equilibrium at 300 K the relative probability of the adsorption configuration 1 + 5 is ~100% and ~96%, for ReaxFF and DFT respectively. Since in MD we consider small surfaces with a high concentration of vacancies the most stable configuration will have the main influence on the chemistry. Thermodynamic equilibrium is not reached during the “impact” and adsorption of O$_2$ on the surface, and therefore also the less stable configurations will be sampled and be accessible for further reactions. Because of the above reasons we consider that the currently developed force field will give a more than adequate description of reactions occurring at the reduced anatase surface.
It is clear that the force field of Monti and Kim cannot describe the trend and the absolute values. They both underestimate the adsorption energies, and the adsorption site combination of 1 + 2 is the most stable for Monti and 2 + 6 for Kim. In DFT both combinations are preceded by the combinations 1 + 5, 2 + 5 and 4 + 5. This will influence the adsorption rate and distribution in a significant manner, and therefore the force fields of Kim and Monti are not capable of describing the processes that will occur during the adsorption of O₂ on the reduced anatase surface.

### 3.5. Application to oxygen vacancy migration

We also applied the developed force field to study the influence of pressure and concentration on the diffusion of the oxygen vacancies on anatase (101) at the surface, V₀₁ → V₀₄, and from the surface to the subsurface, V₀₁ → V₀₄. We did not find a significant vacancy concentration dependence on the diffusion barriers for V₀₁ → V₀₁ and V₀₁ → V₀₄. A significant influence, on the other hand, was found when the structures were compressed or expanded.

The barrier for diffusion of a V₀₁ vacancy to another V₀₁ site along [010] at the surface does not decrease when expanding or compressing the structure, as can be seen in Fig. 15. When the volume is increased the diffusion will be inhibited even stronger. This is caused by the increasing distance between the titanium atoms from the different V₀₁ sites, such that the bonding interaction at the transition state will be lower than in the unexpanded structure and therefore destabilizes the transition state. When compressing the structure the diffusion barrier remains approximately the same up to a compression of 12%. Only after this point the barrier starts to increase.

Fig. 16 shows the influence of compressing and expanding the structure on the diffusion barrier of oxygen vacancies from the surface to the subsurface in anatase (101). In this diffusion mechanism we can consider two barriers: One from the direct pathway and one from the reverse pathway. The two pathways correspond to surface-to-subsurface and subsurface-to-surface, respectively. The difference in height of the two barriers is directly related to the difference in stability. When the surface is expanded with about 10% the barriers are approximately equal, and therefore also their stabilities are approximately equal. This indicates that in equilibrium there will be 50% V₀₁ vacancies and 50% V₀₄ vacancies.

However, when compressing the structure, the barrier for the subsurface-to-surface pathway is much lower than for the surface-to-subsurface path. Since the barrier from the surface to the subsurface is quite low, equilibrium will be reached rather fast and most vacancies will occur in the subsurface. This will have a large influence on the surface reactivity, because of the different behaviour of subsurface sites compared to the surface sites. When perturbing the structure more than 10–12% expansion or compression, the diffusion will be mainly inhibited.

Finally, we also studied the possibility of vacancy diffusion in the subsurface, since the diffusion at the surface is mainly inhibited (diffusion energy barrier of 61.12 kcal/mol for V₀₁ → V₀₁) [7,40]. The minimal energy pathway for the diffusion between two V₀₄ subsurface sites is shown in Fig. 17. The corresponding energy barrier is 27.7 kcal/mol, which is significantly lower than for the surface diffusion, V₀₁ → V₀₁ along [010]. The calculated barrier of 27.7 kcal/mol is in the range ~25–41 kcal/mol, as estimated for the lateral diffusion energies by Scheiber et al. [51]. It is clear that the lateral redistribution on the surface and in the subsurface will be dominated by diffusion in the subsurface, since also the barriers for diffusion from the surface to the subsurface and vice versa, 17.07 kcal/mol and 21.91 kcal/mol respectively [7,40], are significantly lower than for diffusion on the surface. This is in agreement with experimental observations of a reduced anatase (101) surface, where surface defects disappear at one position and appear at another position at the same or neighbouring rows [51].

### 3.6. Future application of the force field

Using the developed force field, we will also study the growth of titanium dioxide nanocrystals starting from TiO₂ monomers. The TiO₂ monomers are formed during volumetric decomposition and hydrolysis [52] of titanium isopropoxide (TTIP) and are deposited on the surface by direct chemisorption [53] of the gasphase TiO₂. Another reaction that can occur is surface deposition of TiO₂ by TTIP [54], but with the right conditions this reaction can be eliminated from the surface deposition. Indeed, Neyts et al. [55] and Baguer et al. [56] simulated that for atmospheric pressure chemical vapour deposition this reaction stops contributing to the surface deposition at temperatures of 973 K or higher in their used set-up. With the simulations on the growth of titanium dioxide nano-
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![Fig. 17. The ReaxFF optimized V₀₁ → V₀₄ pathway (Ti = gray, O = red (dark gray), Moving O = yellow (light gray)). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](Image)
crystals we hope to gain a better insight in the processes occurring during the crystal growth.

4. Conclusions

We developed a ReaxFF reactive force field for studying the influence of intrinsic point defects on the chemistry of TiO₂ condensed-phases. The ReaxFF parameters were fitted against DFT and experimental data to reproduce the equations-of-state, TiO₂-cluster stabilities, defect formation energies, defect diffusion barriers and oxygen adsorption energies. All important data are reproduced quite satisfactorily. In comparison with two other recently developed ReaxFF force fields for TiO₂, the current force field represents a significant improvement in the description of the chemistry related to intrinsic point defects. We should however emphasize that these two other force fields were not developed for this purpose and have already been used successfully in other studies relating to different topics. We have applied the developed force field to study the influence of concentration of oxygen vacancies and pressure on the diffusion energy barriers from the surface to the subsurface and on the surface and relative stabilities of the defects on an anatase (101) surface. No significant influence was found for varying the concentration. Compressing or expanding the structure, however, causes a significant redistribution of the surface and subsurface vacancy sites. Also the subsurface oxygen vacancy diffusion barrier was calculated and is found to be consistent with experimental data. The developed force field is able to describe a wide range of effects on the chemistry of the anatase titanium dioxide.
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